Antitumor sterols from the mycelia of *Cordyceps sinensis*
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Abstract

Activity guided fractionations led to the isolation of two antitumor compounds 5α,8α-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside and 5,6-epoxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-7,22-dien-3β-ol from the methanol extract of *Cordyceps sinensis*. Two previously known compounds, ergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside and 22-dihydroergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside were also isolated. The structures of hitherto unknown sterols were established by 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic techniques with the former synthesized in order to confirm the identity of the sugar moiety by chemical correlation. The glycosylated form of ergosterol peroxide was found to be a greater inhibitor to the proliferation of K562, Jurkat, WM-1341, HL-60 and RPMI-8226 tumor cell lines by 10 to 40% at 10 μg/ml than its previously identified aglycone, 5α,8α-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-ol. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

*Cordyceps sinensis* (Berk.) Sacc. (family Clavicipitaceae) has long been used in Chinese medicine to treat numerous illnesses, promote longevity, relieve exhaustion and increase athletic prowess (Pegler, Yao & Li, 1994; Steinkraus & Whitfield, 1994; Jones, 1993; Tsunoo, Taketomo, Tsuboi, Kamijio, Nemoto, Sasaki, Uchida, Yamashita, Kinjo & Haung, 1995). The medicinal preparation from the fruiting bodies of *C. sinensis* is named dong-chong-xia-cao which translates as winter worm and summer grass. *C. sinensis* infects the larvae of the sphinx moth, *Hepialus armoricanus*, found only in the highlands of the Himalayan region, and the larva hibernates underground through the winter. The fungus kills the infected host and grows throughout the cadaver, and in the summer, a rod-like stroma of the fungus grows out from the mummified shell of the dead host. *Cordyceps* species are generally known as the 'caterpillar fungus' due to this characteristic parasitism of the living larvae of insects (Pegler, Yao & Li, 1994; Steinkraus & Whitfield, 1994; Jones, 1993).

A number of bioactive constituents from *Cordyceps* species have been reported. These include: cordycepin (Cunningham, Herchinson, Manson & Spring, 1951; Kredich & Guarino, 1960) and other antibacterial and antitumor adenosine derivatives (Furuya, Hirotani & Matsuzawa, 1983), ophicordin, an antifungal agent (Kneifel, Srinivasan & Maiti, 1957), a polysaccharide shown to have antitumor activity (Miyazaki, Oikawa & Yamada, 1977; Yamada et al., 1984; Ohmori, Tamura, Tsuru & Nomoto, 1986), an immunopotentiating galactomannan, and L-tryptophan (Zhang, Zhu & Chen, 1991). A recent report indicated that the fruiting body of *C. sinensis* contained growth inhibitors against tumor cells (K562, Calu-1 and Raji) other than cordycepin and polysaccharides (Kuo, Ching-Yuang Lin, Wei-Jern Tsai, Wu,
Chen & Shiao, 1994). We report herein the isolation and identification of two antitumor compounds from the mycelia of *C. sinensis*. Activity guided chemical fractionations of the methanol extract of *C. sinensis*, led to the isolation of a steroidal glycoside 5α,8α-epidioxy-24(β)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside (1a) and 5α,6α-epoxy-24(β)-methylcholesta-7,22-dien-3β-ol (2).

Two previously identified compounds, ergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (3) and 22,23-dihydroergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (4) were also isolated during the fractionation of the methanol extract (Shiao, Lin, Lien, Tzean & Lee, 1989). These ergosterol derivatives were not active against the tumor cell lines used in this study.

2. Results and discussion

Compounds 3 and 4 were identified by 1H NMR spectroscopy as ergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (3) and 22,23-dihydroergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (4) previously isolated from the fruiting body of *C. sinensis* (Shiao et al., 1989). Comparison of the 1H NMR spectra of 1a with 3 and 4 suggests that 1a was also a glycoside derivative of a sterol. Compound 1a was found to have a [M + NH4]⁺ peak from CI of m/z = 608 [C34H54O₈+NH₄]⁺ with a prominent fragment ion peak at m/z 412 in agreement with the proposed presence of a sugar moiety (C₆H₁₂O₆).

The observation of six methyl signals in the 1H NMR spectrum of 1a at δ 0.69 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.70 (3H, s), 0.71 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.76 (3H, s), 0.79 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.88 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz) indicates that the sterol fragment of 1a is an ergosterol derivative. The doublet at δ 4.2 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz) indicates that the attachment of the sugar moiety at C3 is in the β-configuration (Shiao et al., 1989; Ahmed, Ahmed & Malik, 1992; Wasylyk, Martin, Weinheimer & Alam, 1989), similar to that of the ergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (3) and 22,23-dihydroergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (4) (Shiao et al., 1989). The observation of the two doublets at δ 6.39 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz) and 6.13 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), approximately 1 ppm downfield of the H6 and H7 olefinic protons in 3 and 4, suggested that the aglycone of 1a is 5α,8α-epidioxy-24(β)-methylcholesta-6,22-diene-3β-ol (1b). The close comparison of the 13C data of 1a with 1b listed in Table 1 agrees with this proposal.

The identity of the sugar moiety in 1a was not con-
exclusive from the spectroscopic data. However comparison of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of 1a with the spectra of the glucopyranosides 3 and 4 suggests that 1a is also a glucopyranoside. The synthesis of 5a,8a-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside (1a) from ergosterol (6) was carried out as shown in Scheme 1. Deacetylation of 5 (Cerný, Pouzar, Drasar, Budesinsky & Havel, 1984) followed by a Diels–Alder addition of singlet O$_2$(1D) to the diene in ergosteryl-3-O-D-glucopyranoside (3) (Gunatilaka, Gopichand, Schmitz & Djerassi, 1981) afforded 5a,8a-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside (1a). Comparison of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR of the synthesized molecule both confirmed the proposed identity of the sugar moiety and the stereochemistry of the 5a,8a-epidioxy functionality in the isolated compound 1a.

5a,8a-Epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside has previously been isolated from a variety of fungi (including C. sinensis) (Xiao, Liu & Tu, 1983; Takaishi, Adachi, Murakami, Ohashi, Nakano & Tomimatsu, 1992; Tsantrizos, Folkins, Britten, Harpp, Ogilvie, 1992), lichens (Hirayama, Fujikawa, Yosioka & Kitagawa, 1975; Gonzalez, Barrera, Perez & Padron, 1992), and marine organisms (Gunatilaka et al., 1981; Guyot & Durgeat, 1981) and has been shown to have both antitumor (Kahlos, Kangas & Hiltunen, 1989; Kahlos, Hiltunen & Kangas, 1989; Matsueda, Shimoyama, Imaizumi & Tsushima, 1982; Cheng, Nagano, Bang, Ourrison, Beck, 1977) and anti-inflammatory (Yasukawa et al., 1994, 1996) activity. Formation of 1b was shown to be endogenous in fungi and not an artifact of the isolation procedure (Nes, Xu & Haddon, 1989).

### Scheme 1

Synthesis of 5a,8a-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-D-glucopyranoside (1a): (i) 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-D-glucosyltrichloroacetimidate, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 4 Å mol. sieves, BF$_3$.Et$_2$O; ii) MeOH, Et$_3$N, H$_2$O (40:6:1) (Gunatilaka, Gopichand, Schmitz & Djerassi, 1981); (iii) O$_2$, cosin, EtOH, (500 W) hv.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^1$H (ppm)</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-3</td>
<td>3.85 (m)</td>
<td>3.92 (m)</td>
<td>3.88 (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6</td>
<td>6.39 (d, $J=8.5$)</td>
<td>5.95 (d, $J=8.4$)</td>
<td>3.50 (d, $J=5.1$)</td>
<td>5.52 (m)</td>
<td>5.27 (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-7</td>
<td>6.13 (d, $J=8.5$)</td>
<td>6.29 (d, $J=8.5$)</td>
<td>5.20 (d, $J=5.2$)</td>
<td>5.34 (m)</td>
<td>5.35 (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-18</td>
<td>0.70 (s)</td>
<td>0.61 (s)</td>
<td>0.49 (s)</td>
<td>0.58 (s)</td>
<td>0.56 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-19</td>
<td>0.76 (s)</td>
<td>0.67 (s)</td>
<td>0.95 (s)</td>
<td>0.86 (s)</td>
<td>0.76 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-21</td>
<td>0.88 (d, $J=6.6$)</td>
<td>1.00 (d, $J=6.49$)</td>
<td>0.91 (d, $J=6.6$)</td>
<td>1.00 (d, $J=6.5$)</td>
<td>0.91 (d, $J=6.3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-22</td>
<td>5.05 (m)</td>
<td>5.25 (dd, $J=7.6, 15.3$)</td>
<td>5.09 (m)</td>
<td>5.2 (m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-23</td>
<td>5.09 (m)</td>
<td>5.14 (dd, $J=8.4, 15.3$)</td>
<td>5.10 (m)</td>
<td>5.2 (m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-26</td>
<td>0.69 (d, $J=7.0$)</td>
<td>0.913 (d, $J=6.8$)</td>
<td>0.73 (d, $J=6.5$)</td>
<td>0.80 (d, $J=6.7$)</td>
<td>0.82 (d, $J=6.9$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-27</td>
<td>0.71 (d, $J=6.7$)</td>
<td>0.907 (d, $J=6.7$)</td>
<td>0.71 (d, $J=6.5$)</td>
<td>0.79 (d, $J=6.7$)</td>
<td>0.75 (d, $J=6.8$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me-28</td>
<td>0.79 (d, $J=6.8$)</td>
<td>0.99 (d, $J=6.6$)</td>
<td>0.81 (d,$J=6.8$)</td>
<td>0.88 (d, $J=6.9$)</td>
<td>0.85 (d, $J=7.6$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-1'</td>
<td>4.23 (d, $J=7.8$)</td>
<td>4.24 (d, $J=7.7$)</td>
<td>4.24 (d, $J=7.7$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-2'</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-3'</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-4'</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-5'</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6a'</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6b'</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a $^1$H NMR run in CDCl$_3$.

*b $^1$H NMR run in d$_6$-DMSO.
The observation of six methyl signals in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 2, $\delta$ 0.49 (3H, s), 0.71 (3H, $d$, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 0.73 (3H, $d$, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 0.81 (3H, $d$, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 0.91 (3H, $d$, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 0.95 (3H, s) indicates that compound 2 is also an ergosterol derivative. The molecular formula for compound 2 was determined to be C$_{28}$H$_{44}$O$_2$ by HRMS ($M^+ = 421.3347$). The additional oxygen in the molecular formula of 2 in comparison to the molecular formula of ergosterol (6) (C$_{28}$H$_{44}$O) and the observation in the $^1$H NMR of a signal at 3.50 ppm combined with the loss of an olefinic signal indicates that one of the 5,7-diene olefins in 6 has been oxidized to the epoxide. The observation of a correlation in the COSY between the trisubstituted epoxy methine signal at 3.50 ppm and the olefinic methine signal at 5.20 ppm is in agreement with this proposal. The observation of cross-peaks in the HMBC between the signal at 5.20 ppm with the $^{13}$C signals corresponding to C5, C9 and C14 and the cross peaks for the signal at 3.50 ppm with the $^{13}$C signals corresponding to C5, C7, C8 and C10 indicate the epoxide is in the 5,6 position. The downfield chemical shift of the 3$\beta$-hydroxy methine at 3.90 ppm is consistent with the $\alpha$ stereochemistry for the 5, 6-epoxy group (Aiello, Fattorusso, Magno, Mayol & Menna, 1990; Isaacs, Berman & Kashman, 1991; Venkateswarlu, Reddy & Rao, 1996).

5$\alpha$, 6$\alpha$-Epoxy sterols similar to compound 2 are unknown. A small number of 5$\alpha$, 6$\alpha$-epoxy sterols have been isolated from marine sponges and corals (Aiello et al., 1990; Isaacs, Berman & Kashman, 1991; Venkateswarlu, Reddy & Rao, 1996; Kobayashi & Kanda, 1991) and have been shown to have cytotoxic activities.

Growth inhibition due to compounds 1a, 1b, and 3 of the malignant cell lines K562 (erythroleukemia), Jurkat (T-lymphoblastic), HL-60 (promyelocytic leukemia), WM1341 (malignant melanoma) and RPMI 8226 (multiple myeloma) malignant cell lines were obtained from the American Tissue Type Collection (ATCC), Rockville, MD. The cell lines were maintained in a medium consisting of RPMI 1640/FCS 10% at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. Malignant cell lines, $10^6$ cells/ml in 96-well round bottom plates (Costar), were cultured with various concentrations of C. sinensis extracts in a 5% CO$_2$-air humidified atmosphere at 37°C. After 16 h of co-culture, $^3$H-thymidine (1 MCI/well, Dupont, Montreal) was added to each well. $^3$H-Thymidine uptake was measured after 6 hours of incubation using a scintillation counter. The inhibitory effect of each extract on tumor cell proliferation was calculated using Eq. 1.

Inhibition percent (%) 

\[
\text{Inhibition percent} = \left(\frac{\text{Control group (cpm)} - \text{Experimental group (cpm)}}{\text{Control group (cpm)}}\right) \times 100
\]
3.4. Activity guided fractionation of fungal material

The dry mycelium of *C. sinensis* (150 g) was extracted with MeOH three times (500 ml × 3). The MeOH extracts were combined and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude MeOH extract was found to inhibit K562 proliferation by 36% at 500 μg/ml, in the $^3$H-thymidine incorporation assay. The residue was then redissolved in MeOH/H$_2$O (1:1) and washed with hexanes. Methanol was distilled from the aqueous layer under reduced pressure. The remaining aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. Concentration of the combined EtOAc extracts under reduced pressure provided 1.57 g of a brown oil (B1).
This residue was chromatographed on a silica gel flash column (230–400 mesh, 50 x 8.0 cm). The elution was started with CHCl₃ and the polarity of solvents was increased stepwise in the following sequence: CHCl₃, CHCl₃/MeOH (8:2), CHCl₃/MeOH (2:8) and MeOH. Fourteen fractions (FA1–FA14) were collected and dried in vacuo. Only one fraction (FA8) was found to have antitumor activity. Fraction FA8 was further chromatographed on a silica gel-column eluted with CHCl₃/MeOH (8:2) to afford two fractions FB1 and FB2 having an Rf = 6.3 and 5.4, respectively. FB1 was a white solid (38 mg). Recrystallization of FB1 in CHCl₃ afforded 10 mg of a white powder. Compound 2 was found to have 61% antitumor activity against Jurkat cells and 36.3% activity against K562 cells at 100 μg/ml. Fraction FB2 was found to have 96% activity against Jurkat cells and 77% activity against K562 cancer cells at 100 μg/ml. FB2 was further separated by silica gel chromatography eluted with Et₂O-hexanes:MeOH = 5:1 to afford two fractions FC1 (Rf = 6.3) and 3 mg of compound 1a (Rf = 5.4) as a white solid. FC1 was further separated by HPLC to give 3 and 4.

3.4.1. 5α,6α-Epoxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-7,22-dien-3β-ol (2).

IR (cm⁻¹, CHCl₃): 4685-3110 (hydroxyl), 2950, 2870, 1673, 1462; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.49 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.72 (3H, d, J = 6.5, Me-26 or 27), 0.73 (3H, d, J = 6.5, Me-26 or 27), 1.2(1H, m, H17, H16), 1.32 (1H, m, H2), 1.36 (1H, m, H25), 1.44–1.48 (4H, m, H11, H11', H15, H1), 1.58 (1H, m, H12), 1.64 (1H, m, H16'), 1.72 (1H, m, H2'), 1.75 (1H, m, H24), 1.81 (1H, m, H14), 1.85 (1H, m, H9), 1.94 (1H, m, H20), 1.95 (1H, m, H4), 3.5(1H, d, J = 5.1, H-6), 3.9(1H, m, H-3), 5.09(1H, m, H-22), 5.11 (1H, m, H-23), 5.2 (1H, m, H-7); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) listed in Table 2; LRMS (EI) m/z (rel. int. %): 413[M+1]⁺, 396[M-16]⁺; HRMS (EI): Calculated for C₂₈H₄₆O₂: 423.3434; found: 423.3477.

3.4.2. 5α,8α-epidioxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-O-glucopyranoside (1a).

IR (cm⁻¹, CHCl₃): 3580–3055 (hydroxyl), 2950, 2873, 1681, 1458, 1371; [α]D (25°C): −15.6; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.70 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or Me-27), 0.71 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.72 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or Me-27), 0.79 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, Me-28), 0.76 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.88 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, Me-21), 1.0–2.0 (19H, m), 2.1 (1H, m), 3.1–3.2 (2H, m, H-2', H-3'), 3.2–3.4 (2H, m, H-4', H-5'), 3.5 (1H, m, H-6a'), 3.60 (1H, m, H6b'), 3.85 (1H, m, H-3), 4.23 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1'), 5.05 (1H, m, H-22), 5.09 (1H, m, H-23), 6.13 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 6.39 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): Table 2; LRMS (CIMS) m/z (rel. int.): 608[M + NH₄]⁺, 593[M + 2]⁺, 590[M⁺], 412[M-178]⁺; HRMS (DCIMS): Calculated for C₃₄H₅₆O₈ + NH₄⁺: 608.41624; found: 608.41572.

3.5. Synthesis of 1a from ergosterol (6)

All reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere in flame-dried glassware unless otherwise stated.

3.5.1. 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-acetyl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-ergosta-6,22-dien-5α,8α-epidioxy-3β-ol (5).

To a stirring solution of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-glucosyl trichloroacetimidate (0.172 g, 0.4 mmol) in 10 ml dry CH₂Cl₂ was added 4 Å molecular sieves (1 g) followed by ergosterol (6) (0.2 g, 0.5 mmol) as a solid powder. The solution was cooled to 0°C prior to the dropwise addition of BF₃·Et₂O (0.4 ml of stock 30 μl in 2.5 ml CH₂Cl₂). Upon the addition of BF₃·Et₂O the
solution turned pink, then quickly returned to a clear colourless solution. After 10 min the solution was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with the addition of Et$_3$N (60 µl) after 40 min. The clear solution was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, filtered to remove the molecular sieves and washed twice with H$_2$O. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography eluted with 5% MeOH in CHCl$_3$ afforded 5 (0.0466, mmol) in 16% yield (RF = 0.78, 15% MeOH in CHCl$_3$) followed by unreacted starting material (0.1192 g, 300 mmol) (RF = 0.66, 15% MeOH in CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 0.59 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.79 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or 27), 0.80 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or 27), 0.86 (3H, d, J = 6.9, Me-28), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-19), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.5, Me-21), 1.7–2.0 (18H, m) 1.98 (1H, s), 1.99 (3H, s), 2.01 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s), 2.12 (1H, m), 2.40(1H, m), 3.56 (1H, m, H-3), 3.66 (1H, m, H-3), 4.10 (1H, m), 4.24 (1H, m), 4.60 (1H, d, J = 7.7, H-1'), 4.94 (1H, m), 5.04 (1H, m), 5.18 (3H, m, H-22, H-23), 5.36 (1H, m, H-7), 5.55 (1H, m, H-6); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 12.0, 16.1, 17.6, 19.2, 19.6, 19.9, 20.5, 20.6, 20.7, 21.0, 22.9, 28.2, 29.7, 33.0, 33.0, 37.1, 37.4, 38.2, 39.0, 40.3, 42.8, 46.1, 54.5, 55.7, 62.0, 68.5, 71.4, 71.7, 72.8, 78.6, 99.5, 116.1, 119.9, 131.9, 135.4, 139.0, 141.6, 169.2, 169.3, 170.3, 170.6.

3.5.2. Ergosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (3)

To a stirring solution of 5 (0.0564 g, 0.078 mmol) in 20 ml MeOH was added 3 ml Et$_3$N and 0.5 ml H$_2$O. After stirring for 48 h the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was applied to a silica gel column and eluted with 15% MeOH in CHCl$_3$ (RF = 20.6) to afford a white solid. Recrystallization from MeOH provided 3 (0.0351 g, 0.063 mmol) in 81% yield as white needle crystals. IR (cm$^{-1}$, CHCl$_3$): 3400, 2950, 2875, 1681, 1458, 1371; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ: 0.58 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.79 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or -27), 0.80 (3H, d, J = 6.7, Me-26 or -27), 0.86 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-21), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.5, Me-23), 1.7–2.0 (18H, m) 1.98 (1H, s), 1.99 (3H, s), 2.01 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s), 2.12 (1H, m), 2.40(1H, m), 3.56 (1H, m, H-3), 3.66 (1H, m, H-3), 4.10 (1H, m), 4.24 (1H, m), 4.60 (1H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, Me-26 or -27), 4.94 (1H, m), 5.04 (1H, m), 5.18 (3H, m, H-22, H-23), 5.36 (1H, m, H-7), 5.55 (1H, m, H-6); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$): Table 2.

3.5.3. 5α,8α-Epidoxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β,β-d-glucopyranoside (1a)

To a solution of 3 (0.0211 g, 0.038 mmol) in 20 ml dry EtOH was added 2 drops of a 10% solution of eosin in EtOH. Oxygen was bubbled through the solution. The reaction vessel was then placed in a silver dewar and irradiated with a 500 W tungsten lamp. Water was circulated into the dewar to maintain room temperature for the reaction. After 3 h the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, with the resulting residue applied to a silica gel column what we eluted with 15% MeOH in CHCl$_3$ to afford 1a (0.0138 g, 0.023 mmol) in 62% yield as a white solid. IR, $^1$H, $^{13}$C and LRMS were identical to spectra of 1a isolated from the mycelia of C. sinensis.

3.5.4. Synthesis of 5α,8α-Epidoxy-24(R)-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β,β-ol (1b) (ergosterol peroxide) from ergosterol (6)

Following the same procedure as used for the preparation of 1a from 3, ergosterol (6) (0.1040 g, 0.26 mmol) was oxidized, then purified by silica gel column eluted with 15% EtOAc in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to afford 1b (0.0932 g, 0.22 mmol) in 85% yield as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 0.61(3H, s, Me-18), 0.67 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.90 (3H, s, d, J = 6.67 Hz, Me-26 or -27), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.71 Hz, Me-26 or -27), 0.99 (3H, d, J = 6.78 Hz, Me-28), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.49, Me-21), 1.1–2.0 (20H, m), 3.92 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.14 (1H, m, H-23), 5.25 (1H, m, H-22), 5.95 (1H, d, J = 8.37 Hz, H-6), 6.29 (1H, d, J = 8.47 Hz, H-7); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$): Table 2.
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